

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,109	04/08/2004	Michael Cafaro	HEL177/4-010US	8231
21586 VINSON & E	7590 05/02/200 KINS L.I.P	EXAMINER		
FIRST CITY	FOWER	RUNNING, RACHEL A		
	I STREET, SUITE 2500 X 77002-6760	)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110001011,1	11 77002 0700		3732	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cporter@velaw.com IPTLdocket@velaw.com bmelder@velaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/821,109	CAFARO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RACHEL A. RUNNING	3732	

	TOTOTILETE TOTALINO	0702	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 ( periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> </ol>			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
appeal; and/or	and the second s	ated alelese	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	and an arrange to the state of the second		DT-01 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(DTO/SB/08) Paper No(e)		

I.S. | Other: The Declaration filled on April 18, 2008 under 37 oFTR 1.31 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Cha reference (US 2005/005631). The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish applicant's alleged conception and actual reduction to practice of the invention after the effective date of the Cha reference. Applicant fails to establish due diligence from prior to the reference date to subsequent actual rejection to practice, where the conception occurs prior to the date of the reference, but reduction to practice is afterward. It is not enough merely to allege that applicant or patent owner had been diligent. Rather, evidence of facts establishing diligence must be provided.

/Robyn Doan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080428